



SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

LEWIS K. UHLER, an individual registered  
California voter,

Petitioner,

vs.

DEBRA BOWEN, in her official capacity as  
Secretary of State; and DOES 1-100,

Respondents.

KEVIN HANNAH, in his official capacity as  
State Printer; JANE WARNER; TOM  
STEYER; MARY LESLIE; ALAN JOSEPH  
BANKMAN; RUBEN GUERRA; JANE  
SKEETER,

Real Parties In Interest.

Case No. 34-2012-80001214-CU-WM-GDS

*and Judgment*  
**[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE**

1 The Petition for Writ of Mandate by Petitioner LEWIS K. UHLER came on for hearing in  
2 Department 29 of this Court on August 13, 2012 before the Honorable Timothy Frawley.  
3 Petitioner LEWIS K. UHLER was represented by Thomas W. Hiltachk of Bell, McAndrews &  
4 Hiltachk, LLP. Respondent DEBRA BOWEN, in her official capacity as Secretary of State, was  
5 represented by Jeffrey A. Rich, Deputy Attorney General. Real Party In Interest, KEVIN  
6 HANNAH in his official capacity as interim State Printer was also represented by Jeffrey A.  
7 Rich, Deputy Attorney General. Real Parties In Interest, JANE WARNER, TOM STEYER,  
8 MARY LESLIE, ALAN JOSEPH BANKMAN, RUBEN GUERRA, and JANE SKEETER were  
9 represented by Lance H. Olson, Deborah B. Caplan, and Richard C. Miadich of Olson Hagel &  
10 Fishburn LLP.

11 The Court, having considered the Petition, Oppositions, and the arguments of counsel at  
12 the hearing, and good cause appearing:

13 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT:

14 1. As to the Verified Petition for Writ of Mandate:

15 ☐ The Verified Petition for Writ of Mandate is granted in its entirety. The Secretary  
16 of State shall implement the changes as shown on the attached **Exhibit A** to the  
17 Argument in Favor of Proposition 39 and the Rebuttal to the Argument Against  
18 Proposition 39 as contained in the official voter pamphlet and furnish this information  
19 to the Acting State Printer.

20 ☐ The Verified Petition for Writ of Mandate is granted in part and denied in part.  
21 The Secretary of State shall implement the changes as shown on the attached **Exhibit**  
22 **A** to the Argument in Favor of Proposition 39 and the Rebuttal to the Argument  
23 Against Proposition 39 as contained in the official voter pamphlet and furnish this  
24 information to the Acting State Printer.

25 ☒ The Verified Petition for Writ of Mandate is denied.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

2. The Respondent should take such other and further steps within their discretion to implement the Court's order.

Dated: August 13, 2012

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

  
TIMOTHY FRAWLEY